

# EU Regulators Take Different Enforcement Paths for ESMA ESG Fund Name Guidelines

#### Regulatory & Compliance on April 28, 2025

European regulators have taken different routes as to how they plan to enforce the European Securities and Markets Authority's ("**ESMA**") guidelines (the "**Guidelines**") with respect to the use of sustainability-related terms in fund names. The deadline to implement these changes for existing funds is by 21 May 2025 and has applied to new funds in scope from 21 November 2024.

The Guidelines set out minimum exclusionary criteria for funds with sustainability-related terms in their names as well as requiring minimum asset allocation levels in line with the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted or sustainable investment objectives. For further information on the Guidelines themselves, please see our alert here.

ESMA has commented that a "temporary deviation" from the threshold and/or exclusions requirements in the Guidelines will generally be treated as a "passive breach" and should be corrected in the best interest of investors, provided that the deviation is "not due to deliberate choice" by the fund manager.

Supervisory action may be taken where there are discrepancies in quantitative thresholds that are not explicitly passive breaches or in circumstances where the fund does not demonstrate a substantively "high level" of investments to reference the sustainability-related term(s) in its name.

As noted by the Responsible Investor (link to article attached <u>here</u>), EU regulators have confirmed a variety of approaches so far, as outlined below:

### More stringent approaches

Austria Austrian Financial Markets The Austrian regulator stated that any violation of Authority the Guidelines will be committing an administrative offence and liable for a fine of up to €60,000 in line with the Investment Funds Act. **Belgium** Belgium Financial Services With respect to enforcement tools, the Belgian regulator and Markets Authority has noted that they will look to impose administrative and remediation measures such as orders to be dealt with by a specific deadline if they suspect greenwashing. Financial Supervisory The Norwegian regulator Norway specified that enforcement Authority of Norway will be aligned to possible supervisory actions taken in other areas and may include corrective orders to be determined by the severity of the violations. For more severe violations, fines may be imposed. Croatia The Croatian regulator has Croatian Financial Services Supervisory Agency noted that it will apply a proportionate enforcement approach which can range from formal warnings, requests for corrective action, to administrative measures for serious or repeated breaches. Lithuania Bank of Lithuania In cases of non?compliance, the Bank will instruct management companies to rectify the situation and sanctions may be applied in accordance

with existing regulation.

Liechtenstein Financial Market The regulator has noted that

Authority

Liechtenstein

they will evaluate whether enforcement action will be necessary, but that any procedures will follow existing alternative investment fund manager

law ranging from warnings

to fines.

**Spain** 

Spain National Securities Market Commission

The Spanish regulator has confirmed that it will set reminders to managers to adapt before the end of the transitional period. If non?compliance is determined after this date, measures will be implemented to align with

Spanish collective investment scheme

regulations.

## Lighter touch approaches

Luxembourg

Luxembourg regulator CSSF

The Luxembourg regulator has noted that subject to relevant circumstances, it may consider further investigation and enter into a supervisory dialogue with market participants where

necessary.

Italy

Italian Companies and Stock

Exchange Commission

The Italian regulator has confirmed that it will also open dialogue with any managers that might be in breach of the guidelines.

**France** 

Autorité des Marchés

Financiers

The French regulator has said that it is planning to rely on its existing enforcement tools to sanction "established" regulatory breaches.

Ireland

Central Bank of Ireland

The Irish regulator has noted that it plans to assess compliance once the implementation period has concluded but has noted that this will be multifaceted in nature.

**Denmark** 

Danish Financial Supervisory

Authority

The Danish regulator has commented that supervisory actions will depend on the circumstances, but that "significant breaches" may result in an order being issued to the fund to remedy the situation by a

specific deadline.

**Germany** BaFin BaFin has flagged that it

has the power to issue orders in line with the German Capital Investment Code, but that the Code does not stipulate that

managers should be fined solely on the basis that the fund name is misleading.

Malta Financial Services The Maltese regulator has

Authority confirmed that they will

complete gap analysis to determine fund compliance, which could subsequently lead to other supervisory

engagements.

**Finland** Finnish Financial Supervisory The Finnish regulator has

Authority

not disclosed any current enforcement plans, but not that there are disclosure requirements with respect to

ESG thresholds and exclusions present in their current regulatory regime.

**Estonia** Estonia Financial Supervisory The Estonia regulator chose

Authority not to disclose any

information relating to their supervisory activities due to legal and confidentiality

conflicts.

For further information, please reach out to <a href="mailto:ukreg@proskauer.com">ukreg@proskauer.com</a>.

View original.

#### **Related Professionals**

John Verwey

Partner

Rachel E. Lowe

Special Regulatory Counsel

Sulaiman I. Malik

Associate

Michael Singh

Associate

