

A Court in the Sunshine State Blocks Injunctive Relief against Neutrogena Sunscreen Claims; Meanwhile P&G Cannot Flush Charmin Claims for the Same Relief in New York

Proskauer on Advertising Law Blog on May 7, 2015

Assume the following: plaintiff brings a putative class action under state consumer protection laws alleging that he bought a product based on false claims on its packaging. He seeks monetary and injunctive relief. However, plaintiff vows never to buy the product displaying the allegedly false advertising again or cannot purchase the product so-labeled because the advertiser has discontinued the claims. Does a plaintiff have standing to seek an injunction even though those products do not threaten future harm to him or her? Two recent decisions suggest potentially differing approaches to this question in the federal courts... [Continue Reading](#)